000/b-3486

13 JUN 1958

MERCHANIAN FOR: Office of Director of Personnel

G.M.FI.T

: General - Pay Policy

Specific - L. Overtime Policy Proposals

8. Proposed Step Impresses for Maritanian Service

I. The matter of overtime policy proposeds is discussed as a most of Office of Personnel encounter of 17 April 1950, which poses six specific questions. The enter of the persise bility of step increases for meritorious service was presented informally by \_\_\_\_\_\_ of Office of Personnel. Since these matters are expensedly related to contemplated changes in the Appeny owerell pay system they will both be discussed in this paper.

2. Prior to proceeding with the specific embjects it might be well to review some of the background of our pay policy, which indeed is as much a matter of policy as of less. In letter of 8 August 1909, to the Director of Central Intelligence the Civil Service Commission stated with reference to the Classification Act of 1923 (and in response to Agency letter of 30 Jane 1949):

The Lie the official judgment of the Constration, beaution associates T and LO(b) of the Constrat Intelligence Agency act of LO(b), that the Agency is not required, as a matter of law, to follow the Charattiantics act, and that the Constantion, therefore, as a matter of law, is not required to enforce that Act within your Agency.

"This decision does not effect the status of employees or positions with reference to other laws, such as the Civil Service Act or the Detironent Act."

The reply of the IXI under date of 10 August 1949 included the following:

STAT

"You may be assured that in our internal personnel administration we will be governed by the basic philosophy and principles of the Classification Act, the Civil Service Commission's allocation standards, the pay scales, the within-grade salary advancement plans, and the pay rules of the Classification Act, as they may be amended from time to time, in substantially the same manner as provided for other agencies."

This language very clearly demonstrates how closely the Agency chose to adhere to the then effective Classification Act, and as it might be smended. The Classification Act of 1949 (approved 28 October 1949, 63 Stat. 954, 5 U.S.C. 1071) repealed and superceded the 1923 Act and specifically exempted the Agency. Hevertheless, the policy of adherence to the currently effective Classification Act was continued as was indicated in our latter to the Comptroller General of 13 November 1951, which resulted in the decision reported at 31 Comptroller General 191 referred to in para. 6 below.

3. In an opinion of this office dated 6 January 1955, to the Deputy Assistant Director for Personnel with respect to the Federal Daployees Pay Act of 1945 (59 Stat. 295, 5 U.S.C. 901) the position was taken that the Agency was subject to the certain parts of the basic statute. A portion of this opinion is quoted:

"Our conclusion in this regard is based on the following. Section 101(a) of the Act, in relevant part, provides that:

'Embject to the exemptions specified in section 102 of this Act, titles II and III of this Act shall apply (1) to all civilian officers and employees in or under the executive branch of the Covernment. . . . (Emphasis supplied)

"Title II deals with compensation for overtime and Title III deals with compensation for night and holiday work. . . . The examptions to the statute are listed in section 102. Among these the Central Intelligence Agency is not listed; nor has it been listed in any magnificents to the law enacted by the Congress.

"The Classification Act of 1923, as smended, has been emended by the Classification Act of 1949 (63 Stat. 954, 5 U.S.C. 1071). Section 202(16) of this Act exempts the Agency from its application. From this and the material set out in the preceding paragraph, we conclude that this Agency is subject to Title II ("Compensation for Overtime") and Title III ("Compensation for Hight and Holiday Work")..."

- h. We will now take up the questions on the overtime policy proposals as set forth in memorandum of 17 April 1998. These are quoted in the order presented in your memorandum.
  - a. "Can compensation for overtime work, by payment or compensatory time off, be limited, both in Headquarters and field installations, to GB-11 and below!"

The current provisions applicable to all civilian officers and employees in or under the executive branch of the Federal Government with respect to compensation for evertime work, for night and holiday work and for co-called premium compensation are set forth in the "Federal Employees Pay Act Amendments of 1954" (Title II of Public Law 763-834 Congress; 5 USCA 911,912) hereafter referred to as the 1954 Act, which smends Title II of the Federal Employees Pay Act of 1945. hereafter referred to as the 1945 Act. The Central Intelligence Agency is not listed among the exemptions to the 1945 Act as smended, including the 1954 Act. Following the conclusion reached in the memorantum of 6 Jamesy 1955 to the then Deputy Assistant Director for Personnel, that this Agency is subject to the compensation for overtime provisions of the 1945 Act as amended, the question is answered in the negative.

b. "Can this limitation be extended to non-OS employees at comparable salary rates for any type of overtime work performed by them?"

The term "non-GS employees" doubtless refers to employees of the wage board type which under the terms of Title II of the 1945 Act (5 USCA 913) shall be compensated for overtime in excess of forty hours at a rate not less than time and one half. With regard to our apparently regular wage board types including those who work

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/10/16: CIA-RDP91-00965R000400140003-9

c. "Can compensatory time off be directed as compensation in lice of evertime pay without the employee's consent and regardless of grade?"

The currently effective provisions for compensatory time off are also contained in the 1954 Act emending Title II of the 1955 Act (see 5 USCA 912) and accordingly this question is also ememend in the negative subject, of course, to the specific provision of the law which allows the employer at his own discretion to provide that an employee carming in excess of the maximum for grade GS-9 shall be compensated for overtime with an equal amount of compensatory time off.

6. "Gene compensatory time is granted, can a macket (of 80 hours) be established relative to corrying it over from station to station or leave year to year?"

We are not quite clear as to the precise meening of this question. However, since provides for 30 hours compensatory time to be taken on change of station, with overtime pay for any excess, we assume is meent that the inquiry is directed at the possibility of esmeelling accrued overtime in excess of the 30 hours.

As you know the 1954 Act provides that where

compensationy time can not be given that payment at overtime rates shall be made. There is a provision

In any event and in summary we feel that there is no objection to the establishment of the 80 hour minimum corry-over, but there remains the obligation to reinburge the employee at overtime rates where he STAT

STAT

is deprived of the opportunity to use accrued compensatory leave in excess of the 80 hours.

e. "In keeping with Public Law 763, which contains an Amandment to the Federal Employees Pay Act of 1945, can a pressum pay system be implemented as a means of compensation in lieu of overtime for specifically designated functions and, within the framework of this system, can we impose arbitrary limitations by grade level or amount earned on eligibility for compensation?"

Sec. 208(a) of Public Lew 763, (heretofore referred to as the 1954 Act) provides for premium compensation in the section entitled "Special Provision for Certain Types of Work." This is the only premium compensation for which provision is made other than the overtime, night and holiday extra compensation provided for elsewhere in the Act. The legislative history describes the provision as follows:

"Section 208, subsection (a), adds a new title IV to the Federal Employees Pay Act. Subparagraph (a) (1) of section 401 of the new title authorizes additional annual pay at rates up to 25 percent of base pay rates, in lieu of all overtime, night, and holiday pay, for employees performing standby duty and having longer than ordinary periods of duty.

"Subpersoration (a) (2) of section 401 of the new title authorizes additional annual pay at rates up to 15 percent of base pay rates, in lieu of other pay for irregular or unschaduled overtime duty and for night and holiday duty, for employees whose hours of duty espect be controlled administratively and who are required to perform substantial amounts of irregular overtime and night and holiday duty, with the employee generally being responsible for recognizing, without supervision, circumstences which require him to remain on duty. These employees would receive other overtime pay, computed in the usual marmer, for regularly scheduled overtime paregraph is specifically directed at those investigators of criminal activities whose positions meet all the conditions specified. It would permit similar payments to employees in

other types of positions in which all the same conditions are present in an equivalent degree." \* \* \* (Emphasis supplied)

Thus it is clear that Congress intended that pressive pay other than the standay duty and that which cannot be hardled edistrictively but is generally the responsibility of the employee binself, be headled within the normal overtime, night and holiday provisions. The question, therefore, is ensured in the negative. However, we can visualize ourtain positions particularly in the DD/F complex which could very well meet the conditions set forth for premium pay for irregular or unscheduled overtime duty.

f. "Use it be prescribed that sayons receiving annual premium pay be arbitrarily limited from receiving overtime compensation for what otherwise would be construed as regularly scheduled overtime?"

The ensuer to this question, as may be deduced from the discussion in the previous question is also in the magative. The 1954 Act apacifically provides that annual pressur pay for duties essentially of a standby nature ghall receive no other pressur pay, and pressur pay of the unsupervised type in the employee's discretion shall not prevent the receipt of overtime pay computed in the usual manner for overtime work officially ordered or approved.

5. Heat is the matter of the suggested within-grade salary step increases for maritorious service. Section 702 of the Classification Act of 1969 ands specific provision for additional step increases as an award for superior accomplishments This section was repealed by Title III of Public Law 763, 83d Congress (68 Stat. 1105) enected September 1, 1954, entitled the "Government Employees" Incentive Amarés Act." However, we feel that the authority contained in Section 10(a) of the Central Intelligence Agency Act of 1969 (P.L. 110, Slet Congress) is sufficiently broad to parmit the granting of step increases for seritorious service. To set up a progress for such step increases marely represents a deviation from the Agency's original policy of adhering to the Civil Service Commission's within-grade salary advancement plans, referred to in paragraph 2 above.

6. Obviously Agency policy with respect to the matter of Sevinting from normal Federal pey matters has been a conservative one. This does not mean, however, that changes could not be made either administratively or by legislation as deemed appropriate. We have had excellent relations with the White House, Bureau of Budget, General Accounting Office and various Congressional Committees and frequently, because we were growing and scretimes lacked a firm experience factor, we have had to feel our way along. If we are to make changes say, in our pay structure, it doubtless would be better to effect thorough coordination and present as many changes as possible at one time, advising the Civil Service Commission and our other contacts referred to above of the proposed changes in policy and clearing with General Accounting Office in order to determine how many of our proposed changes they feel can be made by administrative means vithout the need for senking legislation. We suggest this because recently State Department went shood on the besis of what they felt was proper legislation contained in the Foreign Service Act and granted a number of inclass promotions to which the Comptroller General took exception as being contrary to the Covernment Employees Incentive Asserds Act. They are now seeking legislation which will permit in-class prosotions. We are told that they are seeking to preface their present authorities (Sec. 625 and 642 of the Foreign Service Act of 1946) with the words "Notwithstanding any other provisions of law," similar to the wording we have in Sec. 10 of the CIA Act of 1949. We should also remain mindful of the often referred to decision of the Comptroller Ceneral reported at 31 Comptroller General 191 (20 November 1951) which recognises that while we are not subject to the Classification Act, our Act did not contemplate "a digregard of any control with respect to the normal administrative or operating problems which confront the ordinary Covernment agency." We would also add that Mr. Duesy informally advised that the White House has shown receptiveness at least to looking over our proposals for pay structure charges.

15/

LAURENCE R. HOUSTON General Connect

STAT

office of Personnel

Legislative Counsel

	CEN	TRAL INTELLIGENCE	AGENCY	GLO	
		ICIAL ROUTIN	\		
то	NAME A	ND ADDRESS	VIMP.	ALS DAT	
1	Legislative	Legislative Counsel		<u> </u>	
2			OXW		
3			0		
4					
5					
6					
	ACTION	DIRECT REPLY	PR	EPARE REPLY	
	APPROVAL	DISPATCH		RECOMMENDATION	
	COMMENT	INFORMATION	<del></del>	TURN GNATURE	

FORM NO. 237 Replaces Form 30-4 which may be used.

(40)